UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,997	06/21/2007	Eric James Wall	CHM-022M	8939
38155 HASSE & NES	7590 07/21/201 BITT LLC		EXAMINER	
8837 CHAPEL SQUARE DRIVE			PRICE, NATHAN R	
SUITE C CINCINNATI, OH 45249			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/597,997	WALL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		NATHAN R. PRICE	3763				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ap</u>	oril 2010					
		action is non-final.					
′=	' —		coaution as to the marite is				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under £	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 and 16-22 is/are pending in the a	application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 16-22</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	· · —						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🔲 '	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, , , , ,	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)🛛	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
,-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
		• •					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/597,997 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on April 21, 2010. As directed by the amendment: claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 17-22 have been amended, claims 12-15 have been cancelled, and no new claims have been added. Thus, claims 1-11 and 16-22 are presently pending in this application.
- 2. Applicant's amendments are sufficient to overcome the claim objections from the previous action.
- 3. Regarding rejection of claims 1, 7, 19, and 20 for being indefinite (not relating to 112, 6th paragraph), Applicant's amendments to the claims are sufficient to overcome these rejections from the previous action.
- 4. Regarding rejection of claims 1, 9, 10, 17, 18, 20-22 for being indefinite for failing to clearly link or associate the disclosed structure, material, or acts to a claimed function under 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph, Applicant's has provided on pages 9-11 of the Remarks specific reference to portions of the specification supporting these means plus function limitations under the statute, and stated on the record that these structures, materials, or acts are disclosed for performing the claimed functions.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory

Application/Control Number: 10/597,997

Art Unit: 3763

obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 3

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-11 and 16-23 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 7,670,314 and claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 7,637,891. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of the

presently presented claims are encompassed by or obvious over the claims of the copending patents.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NATHAN R. PRICE whose telephone number is (571)270-5421. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/597,997 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. R. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 3763 /Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763